



UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tra __nark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	09/552,485 04/18/00 SINGER	C EXAMPLA 49502
	HM22/0105	
	KENYON & KENYON	BERNHARDT, E
	ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK NY 10004	5
	NEW FORK NY 10004	DATÉ MÁILED:
	•	01/05/01
	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
	This action is FINAL .	
_		
╝	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed in
۸ -		and the second s
	hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, period for response will cause
the	application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained	under the provisions of 37 CFR
1.1	36(a).	·
Dis	position of Claims	
7	Claim(s) /~28	is/are pending in the application.
_		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
¥	Claim(s)are subjections	ect to restriction or election requirement.
Αpį	plication Papers	
_	Soo the attached Nation of Destinances of Destart Describe Design DTO 040	
╡	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to the state of the property of	by the Everiner
╡	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to the proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objected to the proposed drawing correction of the proposed d	is approved disapproved.
Ħ	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	_is approved disapproved.
3	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Dela	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
_	only under 35 0.5.6. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	·
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have b	een .
	received.	
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	<u></u>
,	Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Atta	achment(s)	
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
_		
	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
_	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	

Application/Control Number: 09552485

Art Unit: 1624

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to process of making mirtazapine, classified in class 540, subclass 555.
- II. Claims 10-18, drawn to process of making a piperazine compound, classified in class 544, subclass 365.
- III. Claims 19-24, drawn to process for purifying mirtazapine, classified in class 540, subclass 555.
- IV. Claims 25-28, drawn to mirtazapine and composition and use, classified in class 540, subclass 555; class 514 subclass 214.02.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: The different groups are expected to raise different issues of patentability as final product prepared in I or III is old as admitted by applicants and evidenced by the art of record. The process claims require different searches in the process art in view of the differing reaction conditions and/or use of reactants. Novelty, or obviousness of reactant used in I would also be a consideration in determining patentability of process of I in view of the recent Ochiai decision (37 USPQ 2d 1127). Product made in II is not product claimed in IV and thus raises separate issues. While product in IV is made by I and III it can be made employing processes known in the prior art. A known compound is not rendered novel by a process directed to its preparation. See for example In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964.

Application/Control Number: 09552485 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

A telephone call was made to Mr.Lee on 9/11/00 and later on 1/03/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emily Bernhardt at telephone number (703) 308-4714.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machine are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINER